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The semiconductor industry is driving to enable high volume integration of disparate die types via Heterogeneous Integration. These die can come from a range of wafer sizes fabricated in different technology nodes. This emerging package type creates new challenges regarding assembly efficiency and yield. Traditionally, flip-chip assembly process flows have utilized a single placement tool for the placement of the single die type onto the target substrate. For applications with multiple die types, a series of placement tools have been configured in a production line, with each tool dedicated to the placement of a specific die type.

This paper and presentation explore the implications of this type of solution in the era of Heterogeneous Integration. Impacts on product yield, throughput, manufacturing efficiency, and overall cost of assembly will be explored for a broad range of Heterogeneous Integration die configurations. Based on a sensitivity analysis for the range of die types expected in these applications, a novel approach to optimization of overall assembly economics will be proposed. Appropriateness of this novel approach will be explored for a range of packaging solutions, including Flip Chip, 2.5D, 3D, and Fan-Out.
While transistor scaling continues, the derived economic improvements have been diminishing.

*Over the past decade at nodes below 22nm, the associated costs to design and introduce new products has increased by a factor of 7.75*

Heterogeneous Integration promises to lower cost by enabling integration of multiple proven silicon designs in a single package.
VALUE PROPOSITION OF “SINGLE CELL” ASSEMBLY SYSTEM

- **Highest Yield**
  - 4x lower cost of quality due to higher multi-die yield
- **Efficient Heterogeneous Package Assembly**
  - 35 - 85% higher throughput for multi-die applications due to efficient Cell utilization
- **Lower Other Cost of Goods**
  - 75% lower operator costs vs line of dedicated single die-type placement systems
  - 75% lower floor space vs line of dedicated single die-type placement systems
- **Higher Factory Utilization**
  - >20% utilization improvement vs dedicated lines for combo of unique die part numbers per package
“SINGLE CELL” MULTI-DIE VS SINGLE DIE SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

- Typical “HI” device has 3-4 die types
- Quantity per die type of 1 to 8
- Multi-die system enables single cell assembly
  - Flexible set up for any heterogeneous integrated package
  - Fewer operators, less floor space
- Single-die system requires custom line setup
  - Reduced yield due to compounded placement inaccuracy
  - Poor efficiency due to unbalanced line
  - Reduced OEE, increased facility costs
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4 unique part numbers
Quantity per p/n from 1 to 8

Typical “HI” device in JEDEC Tray

Note: Next-gen JEDEC tray is 2x width, 2x capacity
MULTI-DIE VS SINGLE DIE SYSTEM LINE IMPLICATIONS

Example: Universal’s single Cell Solution – FuzionSC + HSWF

Pre-sequences 4 part types, with future capability to 8

Single system, single set up for any Heterogeneous Integration Device

- Multi die solution places all 4 die types in a single cell, no line reconfiguration
- Single die solution requires varying line machine count to match varying p/n count

Single Die Solution
One machine for each die

Multiple systems, multiple line configurations
Example: Universal’s single Cell Solution – FuzionSC + HSWF
Pre-sequences 4 part types, with future capability to 8
*Single system, single set up for any Heterogeneous Integration Device*

**Multi-die vs Single-die System Economics**

- **Multi-die solution places all 4 die types in a single cell, no line reconfiguration**
- **Single die solution requires varying line machine count to match varying p/n count**

**Economic Detractors**
- **Low yield**: Increased part handling & vision finds
- **Low throughput**: Unbalanced line causes idle cells
- **High Operating Cost**: More operators & floor space
- **Low OEE**: Idle capacity from Line-Device mismatch
THE IMPACT OF CARRIER SIZE

- Singulated organic substrates are typically presented to the “pick and place” assembly tool via a JEDEC standard tray (or Gen2 JEDEC tray in the future)
- At substrate dimensions up to 31 x 44mm, up to 28 circuit substrates can be loaded in one JEDEC tray
- Future Gen2 JEDEC trays can hold up 56 of these
- A substrate-less Wafer level fan-out carrier offers an assembly area for approximately 38 these
- A JEDEC standard panel fan-out carrier (600mm x 600mm) supports up to 247 of these

The number of circuits per carrier has a significant impact on the throughput of a single cell placement solution

---

### 31mm x 44mm Circuit Capacity by Carrier Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier Type</th>
<th>Circuits per Carrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JEDEC Tray</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wafer Level Fan-Out Carrier</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen2 JEDEC Tray (future)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Standard Panel</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### JEDEC Tray with 28 Substrates

![JEDEC Tray with 28 Substrates](image-url)
PLACEMENT YIELD IMPROVEMENT WITH SINGLE CELL SOLUTION

- 100ppm per place performance in a single cell
  - No substrate curvature or movement until all placements completed
  - Common vision find for all placements
  - Common spindle and gantry for all placements
- 400ppm per place performance across multiple cells
  - Substrate curvature & move after each die type placed: die movement on substrate
  - No common vision find reference: greater fid location variation
  - 4x increase in spindles and gantries: greater place variation
- For 4 die types with 17 total placements single cell delivers .51% higher yield

Multi-cell cost of quality is $338k / year for 3 shift operation @ $50 device cost
THROUGHPUT IMPROVEMENT WITH SINGLE CELL SOLUTION

- "Multi die" Single Cell Benefits
  - Cell is fully utilized for placement at all times
  - Cartridge exchange time minimized as carrier size & number of placements per die type increases

- "Single die" Multiple Cells Detractors
  - Only one system is fully utilized and gates throughput
  - Multiple carrier transfers and vision finds

For 4 die types, 13 placements on 32 substrates, single cell throughput is >60% higher
• Multi-Cell platform price must be < 25% of a Single Wafer Table Single Cell Solution
• Multi-Cell platform price must be <10% of a Dual Wafer Table Single Cell Solution
## THROUGHPUT IMPACT ON DEPRECIATION COST AT TYPICAL CAPEX

### Relative Throughput per Circuit: Single System Solution compared to System per Die Type Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuits per Carrier</th>
<th>Wafer Exchange Time, Single Wafer Table System (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Relative Throughput per Circuit: Single System Solution compared to System per Die Type Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuits per Carrier</th>
<th>Wafer Exchange Time, Dual Wafer Table System (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8 or more circuits per substrate or carrier enables 29-87% depreciation cost reduction
OCOGS IMPROVEMENT WITH SINGLE CELL SOLUTION

- Single Cell Benefits
  - 1 operator can run complete Cell
  - 75% less floor space

- Multiple Cells Detractors
  - 4x operator count for a four die line, 8x operator count for an 8 die line
  - 4x factory overhead due to 4x floor space requirement

$760k lower Other COGS per year per line assuming $38/hr fully burdened factory rate
OCOGS IMPROVEMENT WITH SINGLE CELL SOLUTION

- **Single Cell Benefits**
  - Cell is fully utilized independent of Heterogeneous Integration die configuration

- **Multiple Cells Detractors**
  - Need fixed lines for each possible combination of unique die part numbers per package
  - Monte Carlo factory simulation indicates up to 30% utilization drop per line across 4 lines

*$90k lower OEE cost per year per line*
### ECONOMIC MODEL SUMMARY (4 UNIQUE DIE SCENARIO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single Cell</th>
<th>Multi Cell</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Per Cell&quot; Throughput efficiency</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>Throughput efficiency of single cell vs multi-cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuits per Year</td>
<td>1273799</td>
<td>1214458</td>
<td>Input number of Assemblies / year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution Price</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>Input solution price to meet Assemblies / year need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Input # of years to depreciate asset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Depreciation per Unit</td>
<td>$0.157</td>
<td>$0.329</td>
<td>Calculation based on depreciation time interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COGS of each Assembly</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>Input total COGS of all devices being picked and placed + substrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throw Rate %</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>Input expected device scrap (throw) rate due to mispick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Yield Loss</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
<td>Input expected assembly yield due to misplacements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Scrap Cost per Unit produced</td>
<td>$0.150</td>
<td>$0.405</td>
<td>Calculated based on COGS and scrap %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Input expected Solution Utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization Cost per unit produced</td>
<td>$0.0236</td>
<td>$0.1334</td>
<td>Calculated based on increased depreciation per actual Unit assembled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operators Required per Shift</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Input # of Operators (1 per system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Burdened Cost/Oper</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>Input Operator Hourly Rate (Fully Burdened)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator Cost per Assembly</td>
<td>$0.1413</td>
<td>$0.5929</td>
<td>Calculated based on total operator cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Space Required</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Input floor area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Floor Space Cost (incl power, insurance, etc)</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Input total cost per area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory Cost per Assembly</td>
<td>$0.0393</td>
<td>$0.1647</td>
<td>Calculated based on total floor space cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost per Unit</td>
<td>$0.511</td>
<td>$1.625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost if Alternative Solution is free</td>
<td>$0.511</td>
<td>$1.296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even if alternate solution is free, Single Cell solution is 60% lower cost per device.
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