

Manual Soldering Options for High Reliability Applications

John Vivari
EFD, Inc.
East Providence, RI

Abstract

Wire soldering operations are still widely accepted in the electronics assembly industry. When a product or the parts within it cannot withstand the heat of oven reflow or the quantity being assembled does not support a mass reflow solution, the localized heat provided by a soldering iron has been the traditional solution. In high-reliability applications, this leaves product vulnerable to both the inherent thermal shock-related damage that even the best soldering operators can cause, as well as the solder joint value judgments of individual operators over a wide range of skill levels.

Whether in low-volume custom operations or large-scale manufacturing processes, the quality of hand-soldered joints will exhibit a high degree of variation. Defect, scrap, and rework rates can be excessive, even when using skilled employees. Higher temperature lead-free operations present an additional challenge. Because of the higher

temperatures required, these processes have even smaller operating windows. Visual inspection of lead-free solder joints also presents new difficulties, and since most hand-soldering rework occurs 'on-the-fly,' actual defect rates are difficult to measure.

Process solutions are available which involve very little capital expenditure, but can significantly increase operator output. These solutions are effective in eliminating many of the process defects associated with wire solder. They will usually result in a faster and more controllable process that reduces scrap and improves overall product quality. In many applications where wire solder was once a requirement, a more mechanized approach can often be achieved using solder paste and localized heating methods.

Introduction

Manual soldering operations result in many types of defects. These defects are well documented in IPC A-610D, Acceptability of Electronic Assemblies. Within IPC A-610D, standards for acceptability were established based on the type of product being manufactured. For the purpose of this paper we will focus in on the seven most common defects: too much solder, not enough solder, overheating, cold joints, contamination, solder spikes, and cracking of vias and components due to thermal expansion effect. For those unfamiliar with IPC A-610D, the following synopses of these defects are provided as a review.

- **Too much solder:** Excessive solder can be caused by operator error and flux core solder wire quality variation. Even the best operator cannot get solder to wet when they reach a section of wire where the flux core is reduced or missing entirely. An excessive solder joint causes poor contact angles, stress concentration

points, and can even result in bridging between components (Figure 1).




Figure 1 – Too Much Solder

- **Not enough solder:** An insufficient solder joint is at risk due to poor mechanical strength. In surface mount applications an insufficient joint can be difficult to see because so much of the solder joint is under the component lead. In through hole applications, there can be poor hole fill that is not visible (Figure 2).




Figure 2 – Not Enough Solder

- **Overheating:** Leaving the iron in contact for too much time or having too high an iron temperature can damage components and circuit boards. The burns are the easiest defects to see. Less easy to detect are cracked components that can easily make it to the field before making their presence known. Component cracking is caused by thermally induced expansion mismatch (Figure 3).
- **Contamination:** High iron temperatures and inadequate iron maintenance can leave behind burned flux and oxidized solder. Conversion to lead-free solders typically requires higher iron temperatures that aggravate flux burning. Burned flux residue can contaminate joints, causing voids and interfering with wetting by covering surfaces that should be soldered (Figure 3).




Figure 3 – Overheating & Contamination

- **Cold joints:** Insufficient heating and insufficient flux content in wire can both result in joints where the solder does not wet well. There is a minimum activation temperature below which the flux will not properly remove metal oxides. All the surfaces to be soldered must reach a minimum temperature for intermetallics to form. Putting a drop of molten solder on a piece of copper will not result in a joint unless there is enough heat in the molten solder to heat the copper to that temperature. Also, solder alloys wet and spread better the higher the soldering temperature. This improved wetting is caused by changes in surface tension and intermetallic growth rate. Poor wetting results in lower joint strength, voiding, and in some cases no joint at all (Figure 4).




Figure 4 – Cold Joints

- **Solder spikes and icicles:** Solder spikes have been reported as the most common soldering defect. They are the result of several sources. Poor soldering iron technique can draw spikes of solder by allowing the joint to partially solidify before the tip is removed. Insufficient heating also causes solder spikes. Insufficient heating can be difficult for even a skilled operator to overcome. Many of the more inexpensive irons available have poor iron temperature control. Smaller joints often require a smaller tip that conducts less heat. The issue of flux consumption also produces solder spikes. Solder re-oxidizes when heated and insufficient fluxing action results in an oxide shell that increases the probability of a solder spike. Solder spikes are vulnerable to touching other electrical components and

breaking loose to fall onto the product (Figure 5).




Figure 5 – Solder Spikes

- **Via and Component Cracking:** Everything you will find in an electronic assembly expands to one degree or another due to an effect called the Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE.) If an element of an assembly expands too much during heating, or contracts too much during cooling, the stress caused by dissimilar expansion results in cracking due to excessive strain. The most common locations for this effect are in through holes and within ceramic SMT parts like capacitors and resistors. (Figure 6).




Figure 6: Cracked Chip Capacitor

All of these defects have a common root cause. They are the result of a manual operation, and manual operations by definition are more likely to cause variation. Handmade items have value when we are looking for unique products and works of art, but 'one-of-a-kind' is not a selling point for solder joints.

Employee training is essential to making good solder joints, but training courses cost money and take away from valuable production time. Even highly trained and skilled employees must deal with the effects of fatigue. Employee turnover result in even more training expense, and smaller operations with fewer trained employees must find ways to deal with absenteeism and vacation time.

Evaluating the True Cost of Quality

Many manufacturers do not have processes in place to calculate the total cost of quality. They have a good understanding of how to trim the cost of the bill of material and make their assembly operation more productive but not how to manage the soft costs associated with inspection, rework, training, and complaints and field returns. Table 1 illustrates the relative quality impact and cost of each of these variables.

Variable	Quality Impact	Manufacturing Cost
Solder Material	High	Low
Inspection	High	Moderate
Rework	High	Moderate
Scrap	Low	High
Operator Training	High	High
Customer Returns	None	High

Table 1 –Cost of Quality

- **Solder Material:** Solder wire is sold as a commodity. The percentage of a product's cost from solder is usually quite low and may not even be on the bill of materials. The difference in performance between solders can result in yield loss change of 50% or more. Compare the cost of scrap to the cost of solder and you will usually find the solder cost is insignificant.
- **Inspection Costs:** These are the costs associated with lost productivity from time spent inspecting solder joints for defects. The operator may perform this inspection as the joints are manufactured, or it may involve secondary or even tertiary inspection operations. Inspection costs increase when there are customer complaints and returns that require corrective action.
- **Rework Costs:** This is the cost of time spent remanufacturing product and the materials consumed in repeating the soldering operation a second time. This rework can take place immediately as the joint is made, or can result from post-production inspection and rejection.

- **Scrap Costs:** Perhaps the most devastating cost is when a defective solder joint cannot be reworked, or results in damage to the product. This cost includes the cost of the raw materials and all of the value-added time invested.
- **Training Costs:** The manual production of solder joints requires skilled employees, and skilled employees require training. In addition to the actual hours spent in training and certifying employees, there are the costs of training materials and instructors. There is also the cost of 'on-the-job' training. Skill derives not just from training, but also from experience. Training continues on the production floor, and experience is making a mistake, correcting for it and learning from it. All this happens at the expense of effective production.
- **Complaints and Returns:** In addition to the direct costs of dealing with customer complaints and returns, there is the intangible cost of future business being lost because of poor quality. In some cases a customer will only require replacement which more than doubles your cost of manufacture for that sale. In others they require the implementation of corrective action which does much more than double costs in the short term.

Test Case 1

A printed circuit board manufacturer was using test coupons to perform accelerated life tests to determine circuit board quality. They had a problem. Accelerated life test results did not correlate with board manufacturing parameters or actual performance of the circuit boards in customer life testing.

Components used to attach the circuit board monitoring equipment were hand-soldered to the test coupons. After replacing the hand soldering operation with a semi-automated process using dispensed solder paste and hot air reflow with a hand held hot air system, life test results finally correlated with actual circuit board performance. The life tests were exposing variability in hand soldering and inherent thermal shock effects on vias that drastically reduced long-term reliability, not variability in the board manufacturing process.

Test Case 2

A manufacturer of electrical components had transitioned the soldering of fine wires to a thin printed circuit board (PCB) to lead-free solder. Yield loss had become a problem due to overheating of the

PCB and erosion of the thin wire due to excessive time exposed to molten solder.

The use of convection heating was not an option due to other temperature-sensitive materials in the assembly. After replacing the hand soldering operation that used wire and an iron with dispensed solder paste and a mechanically timed hot bar soldering process, yield loss due to component damage was eliminated.

Alternative Soldering Methods

Solder paste and paste flux are relatively simple improvements to implement, as they can be applied through a variety of deposition methods. For planar surfaces, they can be printed in place through stencils, and for non-planar surfaces and/or singular deposition points they can be deposited via syringe through a wide variety of needles and other devices. Many machines are available to automate deposition. These systems range from benchtop operator-fed devices to inline, conveyor-fed robotics. Some systems are even scalable to allow for a gradual process evolution from benchtop to automated assembly line.

Solder paste deposition is usually more controllable than making deposits with wire. The actual amount of solder applied can be tuned to a fine degree. Add to this the increased flux levels found in solder paste as compared to wire, and a better solder joint is often achieved. Many find that they use far less solder per joint when moving to paste processes, while producing stronger, higher quality bonds. Process flexibility also increases, due to the incredibly wide variety of paste formulations. Flux type, paste viscosity, rheology, metal content and alloy are all customizable to a wide degree, allowing for non-standard processes, parts and materials to be soldered.

Comparing Solder Paste to Traditional Wire

In addition to the consistency and quality comparison, solder paste differs physically from traditional wire. These physical differences change the options available to the assembler.

Solder paste is a homogenous mix. Each alloy sphere is coated with flux, typically 85% metal content and 15% flux (Figure 7). Traditional wire contains approximately 2-3% flux and commonly experiences voids in the flux core that result in soldering defects, such as excessive solder, solder spikes and icicles. Paste flux is also more tolerant of heating than liquid flux. It stays in place and survives a longer heating process before running out of activity.




Figure 7 – Solder Paste

The additional flux in solder paste provides a better wetting surface when creating a joint. The flux cleans and prepares the surface to be soldered better than core wire, improving alloy spread and accelerating intermetallic growth. In comparison, wire solder must be fed into the joint until the desired wetting is achieved. With solder paste's improved flux performance, less alloy is needed to produce the same solder joint.

Solder paste's binding system prevents separation, and the tacky consistency allows for better part placement without the need to fixture the components to be soldered. Deposited paste stays where it is put and holds components in place for the reflow step (Figure 8).




Figure 8 – Paste in Place

Solder paste can be deposited in hard to reach locations (Figure 9), allowing for easy assembly, whereas positioning a wire and iron in place at the same time is a difficult and demanding

exercise. By using a solder paste that is specially formulated for use with the rapid and high temperature heating of a soldering iron, greater care can be paid separately to placing the correct amount of solder and ensuring the best heating is achieved.




Figure 9 – Recessed Solder Joints

Solder paste is easy to transition to semi- and fully-automated assembly with many process options available; whereas wire is either manually or gripper roller fed. Use of solder paste facilitates the use of a wide variety of heating methods including soldering irons, hot air, induction, resistance, and lasers. Compatibility with such a wide variety of heat sources facilitates making the best choice for an application vs. choosing a soldering iron because it is common practice.

Conclusion

The ideal solution to the problems associated with hand soldering would be independent of employee skill level so that any employee would be able to consistently produce high-quality solder joints. To avoid problems associated with soldering tip maintenance, it would also utilize a non-contact heating method. The heating method would provide reliable, even heating of the joint without any danger of overheating.

Solder joint volume would be uniform and the location of the solder joint would be consistent from part to part. The solution would include the ability to use a variety of solder alloys and fluxes, and would be easily transitioned between leaded and

lead-free operations. And of course, the ideal solution would have a minimal investment cost.

Such solutions exist and do have a low investment cost. Solder pastes exist that serve a nearly infinite variety of heating and wetting needs. It is not necessary to tolerate the quality problems and expense associated with hand soldering in order to keep manufacturing costs down.